

# The Baby-Diaper Equivalence Theorem

When you look around at the Adult Baby websites you find that the central object and focus to almost every single one is the nappy or diaper. Not really surprising of course, but have you ever asked yourself why that is the case? Why is the diaper overwhelmingly the focus of the vast majority of such sites?

We already know and realise that diapers are the number one object in the universe of adult babies. Again, no surprise and a restatement of the obvious. But have you ever asked why? And particularly have you wondered why when objects like pacifiers and bottles and baby clothes etcetera are indeed very common that diapers are instead ubiquitous? *Every single adult baby wears diapers - and uses them.* Even more importantly, it is almost always the first baby item we come in contact with in our lives.

Diapers are our most important item and by a very large margin. In trying to develop a comprehensive and accurate model of Adult Baby behaviour the diaper has always stood out as an anachronism because of its universality while other objects are very different. The only object that comes close to the diaper is the pacifier and I suggest that is because of the same reason that I will discuss shortly. A lot of Adult babies use pacifiers and indeed, most, but diapers are worn by every adult baby. That notion can be controversial in its own way, although if we are to define 'adult baby' in any meaningful way, the involvement of diapers in at least some way must be considered a prerequisite.

On the surface of it and from the outside looking in, the powerful effect of the diaper on adult babies is surprising and perhaps, even incomprehensible. As a device designed to store human waste in a bulky and visibly obvious manner, it has little that one would naturally expect to attract *anyone*, never mind the relatively large number of diaper enthusiasts. Clearly there is more to be seen and understood here than the intrinsic and elemental nature of the diaper.

Further adding to the mystery is that diaper attraction does not simply start at puberty or later where experimentation with objects may lead to a fetish or at least some passing interest. The genital pressure that a diaper gives may lead to the development of a sexual fetish due to arousal, but it still doesn't explain how the vast majority also use the diaper for its *normal purpose*, including soiling.

Diaper attraction also seems to develop in the very early years of life, which doesn't make a lot of obvious sense because there is little in the way of genital stimulation or masturbatory drivers at work at this age. Also, it paradoxically develops in children so young that they may in fact still be in diapers full-time or for night-times at least. At this age there is absolutely nothing that makes a diaper special. Almost all infants wear diapers so what is the big deal?

So what makes the diaper so special that it has a very powerful attraction to those so young and then remains all throughout life? Why is it so prevalent that the adult diaper industry deals with the fact that so many adults wear diapers for non-medical reasons? Just what is it that makes the nappy or diaper so different to everything else in the AB world? Why is it up-front and centre in everything we do, say or think as adult babies?

When we think of real babies we will often visualise a young infant wearing a diaper and also possibly sucking a pacifier. Just think about it for a moment. Do you *ever* visualise a baby and *not*

# The Baby-Diaper Equivalence Theorem

also see that infant in a diaper? Probably not very often. Doesn't almost every picture, video, advertisement or other imagery put that baby in a diaper? When cartoon shows want to show the baby version of their character isn't the primary change to simply put them in a diaper, add a pacifier and scale them down in size? Is that how we define an infant - as a small person in a diaper? The answer is surprising in that that is largely true - at least at first impression. And it the first impression that usually says what we really think!

If you have raised a child, that first substantial step *out of infancy* is toilet-training and toilet-training implies the absence of diapers. Parents will often subconsciously equate no longer being a baby with being diaper-free, at least in some significant way and apparently so do the rest of us.

Here is an equivalence that you may find surprising and even controversial.

***Diapers = infancy and infancy=diapers***

And as follows

***No diapers = not an infant***

It is our hypothesis - *The Baby-Diaper Equivalence Theorem* - that the true power of the diaper - as opposed to other objects - is that it is viewed by pretty much everyone as synonymous with infancy. This may be an unconscious equivalency, but not unreasonable. While some toddlers may wear diapers and probably only to bed, almost ALL infants wear diapers ALL the time. In our minds and our perceptions we create an almost unbreakable correlation between diapers and infancy.

Our minds can be extraordinarily powerful things and can make extraordinary leaps of logic. Unless there is a medical reason for a non-infant to wear a diaper then the connection that is made is very simple and also quite unconsciously made that:

***If you wear a diaper then you are a baby***

Likewise

***If you are a baby then you wear a diaper***

Our rational minds should naturally reject the above claims as absurd. Quite simply, an adult *can* wear a diaper and not be a baby. However, it isn't that simple and this is where psychological regression comes into the picture.

Psychological Regression overcomes the inconsistency that you are physically an adult and not a baby and makes wearing a diaper both natural and consistent. There is no conflict between wearing a diaper and the fact that only babies wear diapers - because you are, in part, a baby. It can be a powerful or even disturbing thought. Based on some reactions to this hypothesis, it is a bit too much to handle.

This hypothesis helps explain the power and the ubiquitous presence of the nappy/diaper in the lives of adult babies. But it also gives us some insight into the number one problem for adult babies which is relationships and how we are viewed by others.

# The Baby-Diaper Equivalence Theorem

If we unconsciously make the connection between wearing a diaper and being a baby, is it not only plausible, but likely, that others do the same thing? Is it possible that the reason a spouse, partner or family often react so incredibly badly towards diaper wearing is that they make the same subconscious connection? Would that not also explain why people can despise diaper wearing and yet cannot easily explain it or offer a reason that is not credible?

Perhaps they do in fact see and understand intrinsically that by wearing a diaper and using it, that Adult Babies are in fact infants in some undefined and 'fuzzy' way. And how many people want to be married to a baby? Not many.

Food for thought.

**Michael Bent.**

**AB Discovery (c) 2015**

**[www.abdiscovery.com.au](http://www.abdiscovery.com.au)**